arXiv:1205.4875v1 [cs.IT] 22 May 2012

Towards a Solution of the Golomb-Welch Conjecture ?

Peter Horak, Otokar Grosek

This paper is dedicated to the 75-th birthday of Tomaš Janovic

***Abstract*—The long-standing Golomb-Welch conjecture deals with the existence of perfect error-correcting codes in the Lee metric. Although there is a vast literature on the subject the conjecture is still far from being solved. It seems that the methods used so far cannot be extended to deal with the remaining open cases of the conjecture. Therefore in this paper we initiate the study of an invariant connected to abelian groups. We show how this invariant is related to the Golomb-Welch conjecture. Then, using this new approach, we prove the non-existence of linear e-error correcting perfect Lee codes in the most difficult case of $e = 2$ for the word length $n \leq 11$. As it is widely believed that the Golomb-Welch conjecture is true, Lee codes being "close" to perfect were introduced. Using the new group invariant we show that quasi-perfect Lee codes are a natural extension of perfect Lee codes. So far, quasi perfect error-correcting Lee codes have been constructed only for the word length $n = 2$ over the alphabet Z_q for all q. In the paper we construct the first quasi-perfect Lee code for dimension $n = 3$. On the other hand we show that, for fixed n, there are only finitely many quasi-perfect Lee codes over Z. We raise a conjecture, in terms of this new invariant, that there is no good approximation of perfect error-correcting Lee codes; i.e., that except for a few small cases, there are no Lee codes "close" to being perfect.**

***Index Terms*—perfect error-correcting codes, Lee metric, Golomb-Welch conjecture, quasi-perfect codes.**

It turns out that for some applications the Lee metric is more suitable than the most frequently used Hamming metric. The Lee metric has been used first time in [17] and [28] when dealing with transmission of signals over noisy channels. Since then several types of codes in Lee metric have been studied. For example, the perfect error-correcting Lee codes introduced in [9], the negacyclic codes introduced by Berlecamp [4], see also [5], [22], [23], and [2] for other types of Lee codes. Several applications of Lee codes are given in [27].

In this paper we focus on perfect and quasi-perfect error-correcting Lee codes. Except for practical applications, the Golomb-Welch conjecture [9] has been the main motive power behind the research in the area for more than 40 years. The conjecture states that, for $n \geq 3$, and $e > 1$, there is no perfect e-error-correcting Lee code of word length n over Z, shortly a $PL(n, e)$ code. Although there are many papers on the conjecture, and the non-existence of $PL(n, e)$ codes have been proved for many values of the parameters (n, e), the conjecture is far from being solved. In these papers the authors use various methods when attacking the conjecture. However, in our opinion, each of these methods has its limitation and even their refinements or extensions will not settle the conjecture completely. More detailed account on the methods used will be given in Section 3. Therefore, in this paper we initiate the study of a new approach for tackling the conjecture. We have looked for a setting for the Golomb-Welch conjecture, also the G-W conjecture, in the area with a well developed theory containing many deep results. Therefore our approach is based on a new invariant related to homomorphisms of abelian groups. We guess that, using group homomorphisms, it will be possible to show that there is no linear $PL(n, e)$ code. As only linear $PL(n, e)$ codes have practical applications we guess that proving the non-existence of such $PL(n, e)$ codes would constitute a big progress in solving the G-W conjecture. To complete the proof of the Golomb-Welch conjecture it would be needed to prove that if there is no linear $PL(n, e)$ code then there is no $PL(n, e)$ code. In other words, if there is no lattice tiling of Z^n by Lee spheres of radius e, then there is no tiling of Z^n by such Lee spheres. This means to answer in the affirmative a very special case of the second part of the Hilbert's 18th problem when the tile is a centrally symmetric cluster of cubes. For more information on the problem we refer the reader to [20], and [16].

Although the G-W conjecture has not been solved yet it is widely believed that it is true. Therefore, instead of searching for perfect Lee codes, some codes that are "close" to being perfect are considered; see e.g. [2], where quasi-perfect codes, $QPL(n, e)$ codes, have been introduced. We show, by means of our new approach, that quasi-perfect Lee codes defined in [2] are a natural extension of perfect Lee codes. So far $QPL(n, e)$ codes have been found only for $n = 2$. Using our new approach based on group homomorphisms we construct first $QPL(n, e)$ codes for $n > 2$. On the other hand, it is shown in this paper that a reason behind the non-existence of perfect Lee codes extends to the non-existence of quasi-perfect Lee codes. More precisely, that for each $n \geq 3$, there are at most finitely many values of e for which there exists a quasi-perfect e-error-correcting Lee code in Z^n.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall notation, terminology, and introduce some notions. In Section 3 we survey results on the G-W conjecture and briefly describe used techniques. Our new approach to the G-W conjecture is described in the fourth section. Some related results are given there as well. We believe that the most difficult part of the G-W conjecture is the case when $e = 2$; i.e., the transition case

Peter Horak is with the Interdisciplinary Arts and Sciences, University of Washington, Tacoma, 1900 Commerce St., Tacoma, WA 98402-3100, USA (email: horak@uw.edu).
Otokar Grosek is with Institute of Computer Science and Mathematics , Slovak University of Technology, 812 19 Bratislava, Slovakia, (email:otokar.grosek@stuba.sk).

as there are $PL(n,e)$ codes for $e=1$. Using the introduced method we prove that there are no linear $PL(n,2)$ codes for $7 \leq n \leq 11$. Section 5 is devoted to quasi-perfect codes. In the last section we raise several conjectures. One of them claims that, for $n \geq 3$, there is no good approximation of $PL(n,e)$ codes; i.e., except for a few small cases, there are no Lee codes ”close” to being perfect.

I. TERMINOLOGY AND BASIC CONCEPTS

First we recall notation and terminology common in the area of Lee codes. As usual, let Z be the set of integers, and Z^n stand for the n-fold Cartesian product of Z. Throughout the paper we will use Z^n for the abelian (component-wise) additive group on Z^n as well. It will always be clear from the context whether we have in mind the set or the group on this set. Because of the coding theory context the elements of Z^n will also be called words. The Lee distance (=the Manhattan distance, the zig-zag distance) $\rho_L(v,w)$ of two words $v=(v_1,v_2,...,v_n)$, $w=(w_1,...,w_n)$ in Z^n is given by $\rho_L(v,w)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}|v_i-w_i|$. By $S_{n,r}(v)$ we denote the Lee sphere in Z^n of radius r centered at v; that is, $S_{n,r}(v)=\{w;\rho_L(v,w)\leq r\}$. In the case that the sphere is centered at the origin $O=(0,...,0)$, we will drop O in $S_{n,r}(O)$ and write simply $S_{n,r}$. We recall, see e.g. [9], that for the volume of the sphere $S_{n,r}$ we have $|S_{n,r}|=\sum_{k\geq 0}2^k\binom{n}{k}\binom{r}{k}$.

Further, as usual, e_i will stand for the word $(0,...,0,1,0,...,0)$, where the only coordinate that equals 1 is the i-th coordinate, and we will use $[a,b]$ as a shorthand for all integers $n, a\leq n\leq b$. $[a,b]$ will also be called a segment or an interval in Z.

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a subset of Z^n. Then $\mathcal{L}$ is called a perfect e-error-correcting Lee code in Z^n, denoted $PL(n,e)$, if

(i) $\rho_L(v,w)\geq 2e+1$ for every $v,w\in\mathcal{L}$;

(ii) every word $v\in Z^n$ is at Lee distance at most e from a unique codeword in $\mathcal{L}$.

If the condition (ii) is relaxed to

(ii) every word $v\in Z^n$ is at Lee distance at most $e+1$ from at least one codeword in $\mathcal{L}$, then $\mathcal{L}$ is called a quasi-perfect e-error-correcting Lee code, a $QPL(n,e)$ code. Quasi perfect Lee codes have been introduced and their existence in Z^2 have been proved in [2]. An efficient decoding algorithm for these quasi-perfect codes has been given in [13].

A subgroup of Z^n is also called a lattice. If a code $\mathcal{L}$ is at the same time a lattice then $\mathcal{L}$ is called a linear code. Linear codes play a special role as in this case there is a better chance for the existence of an efficient decoding algorithm.

Another way how to introduce a $PL(n,e)$ code is by means of a tiling. Let V be a subset of Z^n. By a copy of V we mean an image of V under a linear distance preserving bijection on Z^n. Translations of V form a special family of copies of V. We recall that by a translation of V we understand the set $V+x=\{w;w=x+v,\,v\in V\}$ where $x\in Z^n$. As the sphere $S_{n,r}$ is symmetric, each copy of the sphere is its translation. A collection $\mathcal{T}=\{V_i;i\in I\}$ of copies of V constitutes a tiling of Z^n by V if (a) $\bigcup_{i\in I}V_i=Z^n$ and (b) $V_i\cap V_j=\emptyset$ for all $i\neq j$. If a tiling $\mathcal{T}$ of Z^n by V consists only of translations of V then $\mathcal{T}$ can be described in the form $\mathcal{T}=\{V+l;l\in\mathcal{L}\}$ where $\mathcal{L}\subset Z^n$. as to each copy of V in $\mathcal{T}$ there is a uniquely determined $l\in Z^n$. A tiling $\mathcal{T}$ by translations of V is called periodic if $\mathcal{L}$ is periodic, i.e., if there exists $p\in Z$ so that $v\in\mathcal{L}$ implies $v\pm pe_i\in\mathcal{L}$ for all $i=1,...,n$. Clearly, each lattice tiling is periodic but the converse is not true in general.

The following observation has been used by several authors, sometimes in an implicit form or using different notation, while studying $PL(n,e)$ codes.

Theorem 1: A set $\mathcal{L}$ is a $PL(n,e)$ code if and only if $\{S_{n,e}+l;l\in\mathcal{L}\}$ constitutes a tiling of Z^n by Lee spheres $S_{n,e}$.

Proof: The condition (i) in the above definition of $PL(n,e)$ codes corresponds to the condition (b) $V_i\cap V_j=\emptyset$, while the condition (ii) is a reformulation of (a) $\bigcup_{i\in I}V_i=Z^n$. ■

II. GOLOMB - WELCH CONJECTURE

In this section we present a short account of the state of art in the Golomb-Welch conjecture, and describe various approaches how the conjecture has been tackled so far.

For $n=2$ and all $e\geq 1$, and for $e=1$ and all $n\geq 1$, $PL(n,e)$ codes have been constructed by several authors, see [26]. For all other cases of parameters (n,e) it has been conjectured by Golomb and Welch [9] that:

Conjecture 2: There is no $PL(n,e)$ code for $n\geq 3$ and $e>1$.

Using a counting argument it was proved there that there is no $PL(3,2)$ code. Also it is shown in [9] that:

Theorem 3: For each n there exists e_n so that for all $e>e_n$ there is no $PL(n,e)$ code.

The value of e_n is not specified in [9]. To prove Theorem 3 the authors use a clever geometric argument. First of all, they change the setting of the problem from discrete mathematics into a tiling problem in R^n; they associate a discrete object $S_{n,e}$ with a Lee sphere $L_{n,e}$ in R^n; where $L_{n,e}$ is the union of unit cubes centered at words in $S_{n,e}$. We will describe the method in detail in the proof of Theorem 9. Unfortunately, the given type of argument cannot be used for proving the non-existence of a $PL(n,e)$ code in the case when e is relatively small to n.

Another type of a geometric argument, also making use of tiling by Lee spheres $L_{n,e}$, has been used in [10] to settle the G-W conjecture for $n=3$, and all $e>1$. It is an elegant ”picture says it all” proof that, unfortunately, cannot be extended to a higher dimension. Later Špacapan [24], whose proof is computer aided, showed the non-existence of a $PL(n,e)$ code for $n=4$, and all $e>1$. His method cannot be extended even to $n=5$ as the number of cases needed to be checked in his approach grows too rapidly. It is showed in [12] that there is no $PL(n,e)$ code for $3\leq n\leq 5$, and all $e>1$. The only other value of parameters for which the

Golomb-Welch conjecture is known to be true is $n = 6$ and $e = 2$, see [11]. The last two results are proved using an algebraic/counting argument showing that a desired $PL(n, e)$ code does not exist even in a "local sense". Unfortunately, also this method seems not to be suitable for bigger values of n while e is small.

In some papers the non-existence of special types of $PL(n, e)$ codes is proved. We mention here only two of them. Post [21] showed that there is no periodic $PL(n, e))$ code for $3 \le n \le 5, e \ge n - 2$, and for $n \ge 6$, and $e \ge \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}n - \frac{1}{4}(3\sqrt{2} - 2)$. To prove it Post used generating functions. Also this method looks to be unsuitable for small values of e. Post's result has been improved in [25], where it is proved that there is no periodic $PL(n, e)$ code for $e \ge n \ge 3$.

There are several reformulations of the Golomb-Welch conjecture. One is in terms of the perfect domination set in a graph isomorphic to Cartesian product of cycles, while a reformulation of the conjecture in terms of circulant graphs appears in [21]. So far these two reformulations have not been helpful in progressing with the Golomb-Welch conjecture.

At the end of this section we briefly describe two extensions of the Golomb-Welch conjecture. For a detailed account we refer the reader to [15]. Diameter-d perfect codes have been introduced in the Hamming scheme by Ahlsvede et al. in [1], while Etzion [8] extended the notion to Lee metric. Since, for d odd, a diameter-d perfect Lee code is a $PL(n, \frac{d-1}{2})$ code as well, these codes constitute a generalization of perfect error-correcting Lee codes. Therefore the conjecture stated by Etzion in [8] is an extension of the Golomb-Welch conjecture. A further extension of Etzion's conjecture to the *perfect distance-dominating set* in a graph G have been stated in [3]. Unfortunately, as with the mentioned reformulations of the Golomb-Welch conjecture, the two extension has not contributed yet to the solution of the Golomb-Welch conjecture. However, we guess they shed a new light on the conjecture, see Conclusions for more details.

III. EMBEDDING ABELIAN GROUPS

In this section we initiate the study of a new invariant of abelian groups. We show how this invariant is related to the G-W conjecture. When seeking a new approach to this conjecture we were looking for its reformulation in a setting of a well developed theory containing many deep results. We believe that this improves on a chance to attack the G-W conjecture successfully. It seems to us that the group theory is well suited to play this role.

Let $(G, \circ)$ and $(H, \cdot)$ be two groups. We recall that a mapping $\phi : H \to G$ is called a homomorphism if $\phi(u \cdot v) = \phi(u) \circ \phi(v)$ for any two elements u, v in H. In what follows we will always use abelian (component-wise) additive group on Z^n as the group H. In this case the homomorphism ϕ is fully determined by values of $\phi(e_i), i = 1, .., n$.

Let G be a finite abelian group and $\phi : Z^n \to G$ be a homomorphism. Clearly, as G is finite, and ϕ is a homomorphism, if $g \in G$ belongs to $\phi(Z^n)$ then there are infinitely many words $x \in Z^n$ with $\phi(x) = g$. We will say that $g \in G$ is embedded at distance d from O if there is $x \in Z^n, \rho_L(x, O) = d$ so that $\phi(x) = g$, and say that g is embedded at the minimum distance d if $d \overset{\circ}{=} \pi(n, G, \phi, g) = \min\{\rho_L(x, O);$ where $\phi(x) = g\}$. The embedding number of G into Z^n with respect to ϕ is the number $\pi(n, G, \phi) = \sum_{g \in G} \pi(n, G, \phi, g)$. If ϕ is not a surjection we set $\pi(n, G, \phi) = \infty$. The embedding number $\pi(n, G)$ of G in Z^n is set to be $\min_\phi \pi(n, G, \phi)$ where the minimum is taken over all homomorphisms $\phi : Z^n \to G$. Finally, for each $k > 0$, we set $\pi(n, k) = \min_G \pi(n, G)$, where the minimum is taken over all abelian groups of order k.

In the following example we illustrate the definition of the embedding number by means of the cyclic group Z_{16}.

Example. Consider a homomorphism $\phi : Z^2 \to Z_{16}$ given by $\phi(e_1) = 1$, and $\phi(e_2) = 5$. Then $\pi(2, Z_{16}, \phi, g) = 0$ for $g = 0$, $\pi(2, Z_{16}, \phi, g) = 1$ for $g = 1, 5, 11, 15$; $\pi(2, Z_{16}, \phi, g) = 2$ for $g = 2, 4, 6, 10, 12, 14$; $\pi(2, Z_{16}, \phi, g) = 3$ for $g = 3, 7, 9, 13$, and $\pi(2, Z_{16}, \phi, g) = 4$ for $g = 8$. Therefore, $\pi(2, Z_{16}, \phi) = 0 \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot 4 + 2 \cdot 6 + 3 \cdot 4 + 4 \cdot 1 = 32$. The homomorphism ϕ is illustrated in Fig.1. The Lee sphere $S_{2,2}$ is bounded by a thick line, while $S_{2,3}$ is bounded by a double line. The numbers given there are values of $\phi((x, y)) \in Z_{16}$ at the given point of Z^2. The elements in bold font and underlined are embeddings of elements of Z_{16} at the minimum distance from the origin. If there were more embeddings of an element at the minimum distance we have picked one of them at random; e.g., there are two embeddings of $10 \in Z_{16}$ at the minimum Lee distance 2, and of $13 \in Z_{16}$ at the minimum Lee distance 3.

Fig. 1: Homomorphism $\phi : Z^2 \to Z_{16}$

The (hypothetically) best value of $\pi(2, Z_{16})$ would be attained by a homomorphism, if any, $\phi : Z^2 \to Z_{16}$, with the property that there are $|S_{2,1}| - |S_{2,0}| = 5 - 1 = 4$ elements g of Z_{16} with $\pi(2, Z_{16}, \phi) = 1$; $|S_{2,2}| - |S_{2,1}| = 13 - 5 = 8$ elements g of Z_{16} with $\pi(2, Z_{16}, \phi) = 2$; and finally $|Z_{16}| - |S_{2,3}| = 16 - 13 = 3$ elements of g with $\pi(2, Z_{16}, \phi, g) = 3$. Then, in total, $\pi(2, Z_{16}, \phi) = 0 \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot 4 + 2 \cdot 8 + 3 \cdot 3 = 29$. It will be shown in the next theorem that such an embedding for Z_{16}

is attained by the homomorphism ϕ given by $\phi(e_1) = 2$ and $\phi(e_2) = 3$. So, $\pi(2, Z_{16}) = 29$. As the lower bound applies to any abelian group of order 16, we also have $\pi(2, 16) = 29$.

To be able to show how the above introduced notion of group embeddings relates to the G-W conjecture we first present a lower bound on $\pi(n, k)$ and then state a theorem proved in [14]. A combination of the two results will provide a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a linear $PL(n, e)$ code in terms of group embeddings.

The following theorem provides the smallest possible value of $\pi(n, k)$. Let $n, k \geq 1$. Then there is a uniquely determined number r so that $|S_{n,r}| \leq k < |S_{n,r+1}|$. To facilitate our discussion we set $f(n, k) = (\sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} i(|S_{n,i}| - |S_{n,i-1}|)) + (r + 1)(k - |S_{n,r}|)$.

Theorem 4: Let $k, n \geq 1$. Then $\pi(n, k) \geq f(n, k)$. Moreover, $\pi(n, k) = f(n, k)$ if and only if there is an abelian group G of order k and a homomorphism $\phi : Z^n \to G$ such that the restriction of ϕ to $S_{n,r}$ is injective and the restriction of ϕ to $S_{n,r+1}$ is surjective. In particular, if $k = |S_{n,r}|$ for some $r > 0$, then $\pi(n, k) = f(n, k)$ if and only if there is an abelian group G of order k and a homomorphism $\phi : Z^n \to G$ so that the restriction of ϕ to $S_{n,r}$ is a bijection.

We will say that a number $k > 0$ (an abelian group G of order k) has an optimal embedding in Z^n if $\pi(n, k) = f(n, k)$ (if $\pi(n, G) = f(n, k)$).

Proof: First we prove that if G is an abelian group of order k and $\phi : Z^n \to G$ is a homomorphism so that the restriction of ϕ to $S_{n,r}$ is an injection, and the restriction of ϕ to $S_{n,r+1}$ is a surjection then $\pi(n, G, \phi) = f(n, k)$. Denote by $G_d = \{g; g \in G, \text{ such that } d = \pi(n, G, \phi, g)\}$. In other words, for an element $g \in G$, we determine the minimum distance d from the origin at which g is embedded, and then place g into G_d. As ϕ is a homomorphism $G_0 = \{0\}$. By definition of π, we have $\pi(n, G, \phi) = \sum_{g \in G} \pi(n, G, \phi, g)$, which in turn implies, by definition of G_d, $\pi(n, G, \phi) = \sum_d d\,|G_d|$. Clearly, there are in Z^n exactly $|S_{n,d}| - |S_{n,d-1}|$ words at distance d from the origin. Hence, in general, $|G_d| \leq |S_{n,d}| - |S_{n,d-1}|$. However, as the restriction of ϕ to $S_{n,r}$ is injective, for all $0 \leq d \leq r$, we get $|G_d| = |S_{n,d}| - |S_{n,d-1}|$. In addition, because ϕ is surjective on $S_{n,r+1}$, we get $|G_{r+1}| = |G| - |S_{n,r}| = k - |S_{n,r}|$. In aggregate, we proved that $\pi(n, G, \phi) = \sum_d d\,|G_d| = (\sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} i(|S_{n,i}| - |S_{n,i-1}|)) + (r+1)(k - |S_{n,r}|) = f(n, k)$. In the case $k = |S_{n,r}|$ for some number r, we have that the restriction of ϕ on $S_{n,r}$ is both injective and surjective; i.e., it is a bijective mapping.

Suppose now that $\phi : Z^n \to G$ is a homomorphism such that the restriction of ϕ is not injective on $S_{n,r}$, that is, $|\phi(S_{n,r})| < |S_{n,r}|$. As mentioned above, $|G_d| \leq |S_{n,d}| - |S_{n,d-1}|$. For $d \leq r$, we set $\varepsilon_d = (|S_{n,d}| - |S_{n,d-1}|) - |G_d|$. Clearly, $\varepsilon_d \geq 0$, and for at least one $i \leq r$, it is $\varepsilon_i > 0$, and $|S_{n,r}| = |\phi(S_{n,r})| + \sum_{d \leq r} \varepsilon_d$. Clearly, $|\phi(S_{n,r})| < |S_{n,r}|$ in turn implies $\sum_{d>r} |G_d| > |G| - |S_{n,r}|$. In fact, $\sum_{d>r} |G_d| = |G| - |S_{n,r}| + \sum_{d \leq r} \varepsilon_d$. We have $\sum_{d \leq r} d\,|G_d| = \sum_{d \leq r} d(|S_{n,d}| - |S_{n,d-1}| - \varepsilon_d)$, and $\sum_{d>r} d\,|G_d| \geq (r + 1) \sum_{d>r} |G_d| = (r + 1)(|G| - |S_{n,r}| + \sum_{d \leq r} \varepsilon_d)$. In aggregate, $\pi(n, G, \phi) = \sum_d d\,|G_d| = \sum_{d \leq r} d\,|G_d| + \sum_{d>r} d\,|G_d| \geq (\sum_{d \leq r} d(|S_{n,d}| - |S_{n,d-1}| - \varepsilon_d)) + (r+1)(|G| - |S_{n,r}| + \sum_{d \leq r} \varepsilon_d) = (\sum_{d \leq r} d(|S_{n,d}| - |S_{n,d-1}|)) + (r+1)(|G| - |S_{n,r}|) - \sum_{d \leq r} d.\varepsilon_d + (r+1) \sum_{d \leq r} \varepsilon_d = f(n, k) + \sum_{d \leq r} (r+1-d)\varepsilon_d \geq f(n, k) + \sum_{d \leq r} \varepsilon_d = f(n, k) + |S_{n,r}| - |\phi(S_{n,r})| > f(n, k)$. We proved that if ϕ is not injective on $S_{n,r}$ then $\pi(n, G, \phi) > f(n, k)$. In fact our proof estimates the difference of $\pi(n, G, \phi)$ and $f(n, k)$ stating $\pi(n, G, \phi) - f(n, k) \geq |S_{n,r}| - |\phi(S_{n,r})|$.

Finally, let $\phi : Z^n \to G$ be a homomorphism such that the restriction of ϕ is injective on $S_{n,r}$, but it is not surjective on $S_{n,r+1}$. Then there is at least one element g of G such that $g \notin \phi(S_{n,r+1})$, thus $|G_{r+1}| = |G| - |S_{n,r}| - \varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\sum_{d>r+1} |G_d| = \varepsilon$. On the other hand, as ϕ is injective, $|\phi(S_{n,r})| = |S_{n,r}|$, that is, $|G_d| = |S_{n,d}| - |S_{n,d-1}|$ for $d \leq r$. We have $\pi(n, G, \phi) = \sum_d d\,|G_d| = \sum_{d \leq r} d\,|G_d| + \sum_{d>r} d\,|G_d| = \sum_{d \leq r} d(|S_{n,d}| - |S_{n,d-1}|) + (r+1)(|G| - |S_{n,r}| - \varepsilon) + \sum_{d>r+1} d\,|G_d| = f(n, k) - (r + 1)\varepsilon + \sum_{d>r+1} d\,|G_d| > f(n, k) - (r + 1)\varepsilon + (r + 2)\varepsilon = f(n, k) + \varepsilon > f(n, k)$.

In aggregate, if k is a number so that there is no abelian group G of order k for which there exists a homomorphism $\phi : Z^n \to G$ that is injective on $S_{n,r}$, and surjective on $S_{n,r+1}$, then $\pi(n, k) > f(n, k)$. The proof is complete. ■

Now we state a result from [14] that is crucial for explaining how a group embedding relates to the G-W conjecture.

Theorem 5: Let V be a subset of Z^n. Then there is a lattice tiling of Z^n by translations of V if and only if there is an abelian group G of order $|V|$, and a homomorphism $\phi : Z^n \to G$ so that the restriction of ϕ to V is a bijection.

Combining the above two theorems we get one of the main results of the paper that shows how the embedding number is related to perfect Lee codes.

Theorem 6: Let $n \geq 2, e \geq 1$. Then there is a linear $PL(n, e)$ code if and only if there is an optimal embedding of $k = |S_{n,e}|$ in Z^n; that is, if and only if $\pi(n, |S_{n,e}|) = f(n, |S_{n,e}|)$.

Proof: Let $k = |S_{n,e}|$. Then, by Theorem 4, k has an optimal embedding in Z^n if and only if there is an abelian group G of order k and a homomorphism $\phi : Z^n \to G$ so that the restriction of ϕ to $S_{n,e}$ is a bijection. This is equivalent to, see Theorem 5, that there is a lattice tiling of Z^n by $S_{n,e}$, which in turn is equivalent to the existence of a linear $PL(n,e)$ code, see Theorem 1. ■

Another main result of the paper claims that each k has an optimal embedding in Z^2.

Theorem 7: Each $k \geq 1$ has an optimal embedding in Z^2. In particular, for each $k \geq 1$, the cyclic group Z_k has an optimal embedding in Z^2.

Proof: The intersection of the sphere $S_{2,r}$ with the line $x+y=m$ is non-empty if and only if $-r \leq m \leq r$. We will denote it by $l_{r,m}$. It is easy to verify that $l_{r,m}$ comprises points (x,y) in Z^2 with $\left\lceil \frac{m-r}{2} \right\rceil \leq x \leq \left\lfloor \frac{m+r}{2} \right\rfloor$, $\left\lceil \frac{m-r}{2} \right\rceil \leq y \leq \left\lfloor \frac{m+r}{2} \right\rfloor$, and $x+y=m$, i.e., the x-coordinate (and also the y-coordinate) of points in $l_{r,m}$ form a segment. Now we split the sphere $S_{2,r}$ into an upper part, a lower part, and the origin as follows. Set $\overline{S}_{2,r} = \{(x,y);\ (x,y) \in S_{2,r};$ and $x+y=m$, where $0 < m \leq r$, or $m=0$ and $x<0\}$. Further, $\underline{S}_{2,r} = S_{2,r} - \overline{S}_{2,r} - \{(0,0)\}$. As $(x,y) \in \overline{S}_{2,r}$ implies $(-x,-y) \in \underline{S}_{2,r}$ we get $\phi(\underline{S}_r) = -\phi(\overline{S}_r)$ for each homomorphism $\phi : Z^2 \to G$, an abelian group, where $-g$ is the inverse element to $g \in G$.

Let $\Phi : Z^2 \to Z$ be a homomorphism given by $\Phi(e_1) = r$, and $\Phi(e_2) = r+1$. Then $\Phi(l_{r,m})$ is a segment. Indeed $\Phi((x,y)) - \Phi((x+1,y-1)) = rx + (r+1)y - r(x+1) - (r+1)(y-1) = 1$. Moreover, we showed that Φ is decreasing on $l_{r,m}$ in the following sense: if $x+y = x'+y' = m$ with $x < x'$, then $\Phi(x,y) > \Phi(x',y')$. To prove that $\Phi(l_{r,m})$ and $\Phi(l_{r,m+1})$ constitute two consecutive segments we show that $\Phi(l_{r,m}) \cap \Phi(l_{r,m+1}) = \emptyset$, and $\Phi(l_{r,m}) \cup \Phi(l_{r,m+1})$ is a segment as well. To do so, it suffices to verify (because Φ is decreasing on $l_{r,m}$) that the value of Φ at the point (x,y) in $l_{r,m}$ with the smallest value of x, is by one smaller than the value of Φ at the point (x,y) in $l_{r,m+1}$ with the largest value of x. Thus, we need to show that $\Phi((m - \left\lfloor \frac{m+r}{2} \right\rfloor, \left\lfloor \frac{m+r}{2} \right\rfloor)) + 1 = \Phi((m+1 - \left\lceil \frac{m+1-r}{2} \right\rceil, \left\lceil \frac{m+1-r}{2} \right\rceil))$, that is, $r(m - \left\lfloor \frac{m+r}{2} \right\rfloor) + (r+1)\left\lfloor \frac{m+r}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 = r(m+1-\left\lceil \frac{m+1-r}{2} \right\rceil) + (r+1)\left\lceil \frac{m+1-r}{2} \right\rceil$. By simple manipulation we get $rm + \left\lfloor \frac{m+r}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 = \left\lceil \frac{m+1-r}{2} \right\rceil + r(m+1)$, that is equivalent to $\left\lfloor \frac{m+r}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 = \left\lceil \frac{m+1-r}{2} \right\rceil + r$, i.e., $\left\lfloor \frac{m+r}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 = \left\lceil \frac{m+r}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right\rceil$, which is clearly true for all r, m. So we proved that $\Phi(l_{r,m}) \cap \Phi(l_{r,m+1}) = \emptyset$, and $\Phi(l_{r,m}) \cup \Phi(l_{r,m+1})$ is a segment for each r, m. This in turn implies that $\Phi(S_{2,r})$ is a union of consecutive segments. In aggregate, $\Phi(S_{2,r})$ is a segment of length $|S_{2,r}| = 2r^2+2r+1$, that is, the restriction of Φ to $S_{2,r}$ is an injection. We point out that the point of $l_{r,r}$ with the smallest x-coordinate is the point $(0,r)$. Therefore $\Phi((0,r)) = \max \Phi(S_{2,r}) = r(r+1)$. On the other hand, the point of $l_{r,0}$ with the largest x coordinate is the point $(-1,1)$, Thus, $\Phi((-1,1)) = \min \Phi(\overline{S}_{2,r}) = 1$. In aggregate, $\Phi(\overline{S}_{2,r}) = [1, r(r+1)]$, and because Φ is a homomorphism, $\Phi(\underline{S}_{2,r}) = [-r(r+1), -1]$.

Now we are ready to prove the statement of the theorem. First, let

(i) $k = |S_{2,r}| = 2r^2+2r+1$ for some r. Consider the homomorphism $\phi : Z^2 \to Z_k$ given by $\phi(e_1) = r, \phi(e_2) = r+1$, and let Φ be the homomorphism described above. Clearly, $\phi(u) = \Phi(u) (\operatorname{mod} k)$ for each $u \in Z^2$, and thus $\phi((x,y)) = \Phi((x,y))$ for $(x,y) \in \overline{S}_{2,r}$ as $\Phi(\overline{S}_{2,r}) = [1, r(r+1)]$ and $r(r+1) < k$. In addittion, ϕ is injective on $\overline{S}_{2,r}$ because Φ is. Further, as ϕ is a homomorphism, ϕ is injective on $\underline{S}_{2,r}$ and $\phi(\underline{S}_{2,r}) = [-r(r+1), -1] (\operatorname{mod} k) = [-r(r+1)+k, -1+k] = [r(r+1)+1, 2r^2+2r]$. In aggregate, $\phi(S_{2,r}) = \phi(\overline{S}_{2,r}) \cup \phi(\underline{S}_{2,r}) \cup \phi((0,0)) = [0, 2r^2+2r] = [0, k-1]$. Thus, the restriction of ϕ to $S_{2,r}$ is both an injection and a surjection. Therefore ϕ a bijection, which means, by Theorem 4, that k has an optimal embedding in Z^2.

(ii) Let r be so that $|S_{2,r}| < k < |S_{2,r+1}|$; that is, $2r^2+2r+1 < k < 2r^2+6r+5$. We will split the proof into two cases.

(iia) $|S_{2,r}| = 2r^2+2r+1 < k \leq 2r^2+4r$.

Let $\phi : Z^2 \to Z_k$ be the homomorphism given again by $\phi(e_1) = r, \phi(e_2) = r+1$. Similarly as above, $\phi(u) = \Phi(u) (\operatorname{mod} k)$, which implies $\phi(\overline{S}_{2,r}) = \Phi(\overline{S}_{2,r}) = [1, r(r+1)]$; in addittion, ϕ injective on $\overline{S}_{2,r}$ because Φ is. Since ϕ is a homomorphism we get $\phi(\underline{S}_{2,r}) = -\phi(\overline{S}_{2,r}) = [-r(r+1), -1] (\operatorname{mod} k) = [-r(r+1)+k, -1+k]$. As $k > 2r^2+2r+1$,we have $-r(r+1)+k > r(r+1)$, and this implies $\phi(\underline{S}_{2,r}) \cap \phi(\overline{S}_{2,r}) = \emptyset$. Thus ϕ is an injection on $S_{2,r}$. To finish the proof we need to show that $\phi(S_{2,r+1}) = Z_k$, that is, that the restriction of ϕ to $S_{2,r+1}$ is a surjection, c.f. Theorem 4. It was mentioned above that Φ is decreasing on $l_{r+1,r+1}$. Therefore, it is $\Phi(l_{r+1,r+1}) = [\Phi((r+1,0)), \Phi((0,r+1))] = [r(r+1), (r+1)(r+1)]$. Then $\phi(X) = \Phi(X) (\operatorname{mod} k)$ implies $\phi(\overline{S}_{2,r+1}) \supset \Phi(\overline{S}_{2,r}) \cup \Phi(l_{r+1,r+1}) = [1, r(r+1)] \cup [r(r+1), (r+1)(r+1)] = [1, (r+1)^2]$. In turn, $\phi(\underline{S}_r) = -\phi(\overline{S}_r)$ implies $\phi(\underline{S}_r) \supset [-(r+1)^2, -1] (\operatorname{mod} k)$, that is, $\phi(\underline{S}_r) \supset [-(r+1)^2 + k, -1+k]$. However, it is $(r+1)^2 > k - (r+1)^2$ because in this case $k \leq 2r^2+4r$. Therefore $\phi(S_{2,r+1}) = [0, k-1]$, i.e., ϕ is a surjection on $S_{2,r+1}$.

(iib) $2r^2+4r+1 \leq k < 2r^2+6r+5 = |S_{r+1}|$.

Consider a homomorphism $\Phi' : Z^2 \to Z$ given by $\Phi'(e_1) = r+1, \Phi'(e_2) = r+2$. Translating the results obtained above for the homomorphism Φ into the language of Φ' we get that the restriction of Φ' to $S_{2,r+1}$ is injective, and $\Phi'(\overline{S}_{2,r+1}) = [1, (r+1)(r+2)]$, $\Phi'(\underline{S}_{2,r+1}) = [-(r+1)(r+2), -1]$. Further, as Φ is decreasing on $l_{r,m}$ for each r, m, and the smallest value of $\Phi(l_{r,m})$ is by one bigger than the largest value of $\Phi(l_{r,m-1})$, then $\max \Phi'(\overline{S}_{2,r}) = \Phi'((0,r)) = r(r+2)$.

Let $\phi : Z^2 \to Z_k$ be a homomorphism given by $\phi(e_1) = r+1, \phi(e_2) = r+2$. Hence, $\phi(u) = \Phi'(u) (\operatorname{mod} k)$, which in turn implies $\phi(\overline{S}_{2,r+1}) = \Phi(\overline{S}_{2,r+1}) = [1, (r+1)(r+2)] \supset [1, \frac{k-1}{2}]$. Further, as ϕ is a homomorphism, $\phi(\underline{S}_{2,r+1}) = -\phi(\overline{S}_{2,r+1}) \supset [-\frac{k-1}{2}, -1] (\operatorname{mod} k) = [-\frac{k-1}{2} + k, -1 + k] = [\frac{k+1}{2}, k-1]$. In aggregate, $\phi(S_{2,r+1}) = \phi(\overline{S}_{2,r+1}) \cup \phi(\underline{S}_{2,r+1}) \cup \phi((0,0)) \supset [1, \frac{k-1}{2}] \cup [\frac{k+1}{2}, k-1] \cup \{0\} = [0, k-1]$. Thus, the restriction of ϕ to $S_{2,r+1}$ is surjective. To finish the proof we need to show that the restriction of ϕ to $S_{2,r}$ is injective.

We recall that the restriction of Φ' to $S_{2,r}$ is injective and $\max \Phi'(\overline{S}_{2,r}) = \Phi'(0,r) = r(r+2)$. Thus $\Phi'(\overline{S}_{2,r}) \subset [1, r(r+2)]$. Therefore ϕ is injective on $\overline{S}_{2,r}$, $\phi(\overline{S}_{2,r}) \subset [1, \frac{k-1}{2}]$. Further, ϕ is injective on $\underline{S}_{2,r}$, and $\phi(\underline{S}_{2,r}) = -\phi(\overline{S}_{2,r}) \subset$

$[-\frac{k-1}{2}, -1](\bmod k) = [\frac{k-1}{2} + k, -1 + k] = [\frac{k+1}{2}, k-1]$. Hence, $\phi(\overline{S}_{2,r}) \cap \phi(\underline{S}_{2,r})$ is empty, which in turn implies that the restriction of ϕ to $S_{n,r}$ is an injection. The proof is complete. ■

Remark 8: We had to consider two cases in the above proof because the restriction of the homomorphism $\phi(e_1) = r, \phi(e_2) = r+1$ to $S_{2,r+1}$ is not always surjective for $2r^2 + 4r + 1 \leq k < 2r^2 + 6r + 5$, while the restriction of homomorphism $\phi(e_1) = r+1, \phi(e_2) = r+2$ to $S_{2,r}$ is not always injective for $2r^2 + 2r + 1 < k \leq 2r^2 + 4r$.

The following theorem shows that also in this case the results for $n \geq 3$ dramatically differ from those for $n = 2$. While any $k > 0$ has an optimal embedding in Z^2, for each $n \geq 3$ there are only finitely many numbers k having optimal embedding in Z^n.

Theorem 9: For each $n \geq 3$, there is a k_n so that no $k \geq k_n$ has an optimal embedding in Z^n.

Proof: This proof uses ideas developed to prove Theorem 7 in [9]. For the reader's convenience we provide a complete proof. First of all, we remind the reader that Lee sphere, $L_{n,r}$, in R^n of radius r is the union of unit cubes centered at words in $S_{n,r}$. So, while $S_{n,r}$ is a finite set of points, the sphere $L_{n,r}$ is a contiguous set in R^n. The volume V of $L_{n,r}$ equals the number of words in the sphere $S_{n,r}$; thus $V(L_{n,r}) = \sum_{i\geq 0} 2^i \binom{n}{i}\binom{r}{i}$. Further, we use $P_{n,r}$ for the smallest convex polytope containing the $2n$ points $\pm(r+\frac{1}{2})e_i, i = 1, ..., n$. In other words, $P_{n,r}$ is the smallest convex polytope containing $2n$ center points of $(n-1)$-dimensional extremal hyperfaces of $L_{n,r}$. Thus, $P_{2,r}$ is a square while $P_{3,r}$ is a regular octahedron. For the n-dimensional hypervolume of the regular polytope $P_{n,r}$ we have: $V(P_{n,r}) = \frac{(2r+1)^n}{n!}$. If there was a tiling of R^n by Lee spheres $L_{n,r}$ then this tiling would induce a packing of R^n by regular polytopes $P_{n,r}$.

Assume now that an integer k has an optimal embedding in Z^n. Then, by Theorem 4, there is a homomorphism $\phi : Z^n \to G$, an abelian group of order k, so that the restriction of ϕ to $S_{n,r}$ is injective and the restriction of ϕ to $S_{n,r+1}$ is surjective. Therefore we are able to choose a set K of k points in Z^n so that $S_{n,r} \subseteq K \subset S_{n,r+1}$ and the restriction of ϕ on K is a bijection. By Theorem 5 ϕ induces a lattice tiling of Z^n by copies of K. This in turn implies that there is a lattice tiling $\mathcal{T}$ of R^n by the tile T_K comprising unit cubes centered at points in K. Since $S_{n,r} \subseteq K$, the Lee sphere $L_{n,r}$ is a subset of T_K. Therefore, tiling $\mathcal{T}$ induces a packing of R^n by Lee spheres $L_{n,r}$, which in turn induces a packing of R^n by polytopes $P_{n,r}$.

It is known, see [7], that regular polytopes $P_{n,k}$ do not tile R^n. Further, c.f. [9], if a polytope does not tile R^n, then the packing efficiency α of R^n by this polytope is strictly less than 1. As the packing of R^n by copies of $P_{n,r}$ has been induced by tiling $\mathcal{T}$ of R^n by the cluster of unit cubes T_K, $\frac{V(P_{n,r})}{V(T_K)} \leq \alpha$. Therefore, there is no tiling $\mathcal{T}$ of R^n by a tile T_k for

$$\frac{V(P_{n,r})}{V(T_K)} > \alpha \tag{1}$$

However, for the volume $V(T_k)$ we have $V(L_{n,r}) \leq V(T_k) < V(L_{n,r+1})$. Therefore, for n fixed,

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{V(P_{n,r})}{V(L_{n,r})} = \lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{V(P_{n,r})}{V(T_K)} = \lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{V(P_{n,r})}{V(L_{n,r+1})} = 1$$

as the coefficient at the leading term of both polynomials $V(L_{n,r})$ and $V(L_{n,r+1})$ is $\frac{2^n}{n!}r^n$. Thus, there is a k_n so that, for all $k > k_n$, we have $\frac{V(P_{n,r})}{V(T_K)} > \alpha$. That is, by (1), for $k > k_n$, there is no tiling of R^n by T_k, that is, there is no tiling of Z^n by the set K in this case. This in turn implies that $k = |K|$ does not have an optimal embedding in Z^n. The proof is complete. ■

At the end of this section we prove the non-existence of a linear $PL(n,e)$ code for some new values of parameters.

Theorem 10: There is no linear $PL(n,2)$ code for $7 \leq e \leq 11$.

Proof: We have proved the statement using an extensive computer search. For illustration we describe the case $n = 7$ in detail. By Theorem 6, we need to show that $k = |S_{7,2}| = 113$ does not have an optimal embedding in Z^7. As 113 is a prime, we in fact need to show that the cyclic group Z_{113}, the only group of order 113, does not have an optimal embedding in Z^7. That is, we need to show that for no homomorphism $\phi : Z^7 \to C_{113}$ the restriction of ϕ to $S_{7,2}$ is a bijection. As mentioned above, any homomorphism is uniquely determined by the values of $\phi(e_i)$, $i = 1, ..., 7$. Hence we need to show that for any 7-tuple $(\phi(e_1), ..., \phi(e_7))$ the homomorphism determined by this tuple restricted to $S_{7,2}$ is not a bijection. So in fact we need to check 113^7 cases. However, this number can be reduced significantly using the following observations. First of all we may assume wlog that $\phi(e_1) = 1$, and that $\phi(e_i) < 56$ for $2 \leq i \leq 7$. Indeed, as 113 is a prime, there is, for any element $a \in Z_{113}$, an automorphism α of Z_{113} such that $\alpha(1) = a$. Therefore, if there exists a homomorphism with the required properties, there has to be such a homomorphism with $\phi(e_1) = 1$ as well. Further, for each element a in Z_{113}, either a or its inverse $-a$ is smaller than 56. Setting $\phi(e_i) = a$ or $\phi(e_i) = -a$ does not influence whether the required homomorphism exists as $\phi(-e_i) = -\phi(e_i)$. Therefore we can always choose for the value of $\phi(e_i)$ the smaller of the two; i.e., wlog we may assume $\phi(e_i) \leq 56$. So we have already reduced the number of cases that need to be considered from 113^7 to 56^6. To reduce it significantly even further, we are not going to choose the complete 7-tuple $(\phi(e_1), ..., \phi(e_7))$ at once, but we choose the value of $\phi(e_i)$ only after the values of $\phi(e_j), j < i$, have been chosen. Having in mind that the restriction of ϕ to $S_{7,2}$ is supposed to be a bijection it suffices to restrict the choice of $\phi(e_i)$ to the elements from the set $K = [1, 56] - \{\pm\phi(e_{j_1}) \pm \phi(e_{j_2});$ for $j_i, j_2 < i\}$. This drastically limits the number of cases and the calculation is very fast. As the described algorithm is very simple and therefore the program is very short, we strongly believe that it works correctly.

As to $n = 8, ..., 11$, we only note that $|S_{n,2}| = 145, 181, 221$, and 265, respectively. Hence, for all $n =$

$8, ..., 11$, $|S_{n,2}|$ is a square free number, that is, for all of these values there is only one group of the given order. Therefore wee need to prove the non-existence of the desired homomorphism only for the corresponding cyclic group. ■

We remark at the end of this section that it would not be difficult to prove the non-existence of a linear $PL(12, 2)$ code as $|S_{12,2}| = 313$ is a prime, thus there is again only one group of this order. A computer program based on the same idea as described in the above proof would differ from the program for $n = 11$ in adding 8 lines for one more cycle. We have not done it as we proved the non-existence of linear $PL(n, 2)$ codes, $n = 7, ..., 11$, only to illustrate the usefulness of the new notion of group embeddings. We hope to be able to prove the non-existence of $PL(n, 2)$ codes for all $n \geq 7$ without using a computer.

IV. QUASI-PERFECT LEE CODES

It is highly probable that a $PL(n, e)$ code does not exist for $n \geq 3$, and $e > 1$. To cope with the problem, codes that are "close" to being perfect have been considered. One option are the quasi-perfect codes, $QPL(n, e)$ codes, introduced in [2]; see Section 2 for their definition. Also in this case we focus on linear $QPL(n, e)$ codes as otherwise the existence of an efficient decoding algorithm is not likely. The next theorem shows how the quasi-perfect codes relate to optimal embeddings introduced in the previous section. In fact it shows that quasi-perfect Lee codes are a natural extension of perfect Lee codes.

Theorem 11: A linear $QPL(n, e)$ code exists if and only if there is a number k, $|S_{n,e}| \leq k < |S_{n,e+1}|$, having an optimal embedding in Z^n.

Proof: Assume first that $k, |S_{n,e}| \leq k < |S_{n,e+1}|$, has an optimal embedding in Z^n. That is, there is a homomorphism $\phi : Z^n \to G$, an abelian group G of order k, so that the restriction of ϕ to $S_{n,e}$ is an injection and the restriction of ϕ to $S_{n,e+1}$ is a surjection. Choose a set K, $|K| = k$, of words in Z^n so that the restriction of ϕ to K is a bijection and $S_{n,e} \subseteq K \subset S_{n,e+1}$. By Theorem 5, ϕ induces a lattice tiling $\mathcal{T}$ of Z^n by K. Consider the set of words $\mathcal{L}$ such that $v \in \mathcal{L}$ if $\phi(v) = 0$, the zero element of G. It is well known that $\mathcal{L}$ is a lattice. For any two words $u, v \in \mathcal{L}$ we have $\rho_L(u, v) \geq 2e + 1$ as $S_{n,e} \subseteq K$. Since $\mathcal{T}$ is a tiling, to each word $w \in Z^n$ there is copy of K_w of K so that $w \in K_w$, and $K \subset S_{n,e+1}$ guaranties that w is at distance $\leq e + 1$ from at least one word in $\mathcal{L}$. Thus, we proved that $\mathcal{L}$ constitutes a linear $QPL(n, e)$ code

Now, assume that $\mathcal{L} \subset Z^n$ is a linear $QPL(n, e)$ code. We will prove that there is a number $k, |S_{n,e}| \leq k < |S_{n,e+1}|$, so that k has an optimal embedding in Z^n. As $\mathcal{L}$ is a linear code, $\mathcal{L}$ is lattice. It is well known that $Z^n/\mathcal{L} = G$, where G is an abelian group. In order to prove our statement we show that G has an optimal embedding, and $|S_{n,e}| \leq |G| < |S_{n,e+1}|$. Consider a mapping $\phi : Z^n \to G$ so that $\phi(u) = g$ if u belongs to the coset $[g]$ of $Z^n/\mathcal{L}$. Clearly, ϕ defined this way is a homomorphism, and $\mathcal{L} = \ker(\phi)$. First we show that the restriction of ϕ to $S_{n,r}$ is an injection. Assume to the contrary, that there are two words $u, v \in S_{n,e}, u \neq v$, such that $\phi(u) = \phi(v)$. Set $w = u - v$. Then $\phi(w) = \phi(u - w) = \phi(u) - \phi(v) = 0$. Thus, $w \in \mathcal{L}$ is a codeword. However, this is a contradiction as $\rho_L(w, O) = \rho_L(u, v) < 2e$ which contradicts the condition (i) in the definition of $QPL(n, e)$ code. Hence we proved that ϕ is an injection on $S_{n,e}$, which at the same time implies that $|G| \geq |S_{n,e}|$.

To prove that the restriction of ϕ to $S_{n,e+1}$ is a surjection, consider an element $g \in G$. Let u be a word in Z^n with $\phi(u) = g$. As $\mathcal{L}$ is a $QPL(n, e)$ code, for each word $u \in Z^n$, there is a codeword $w \in \mathcal{L}$ so that $\rho_L(u, w) \leq e + 1$, and for $v = u - w$ we have $|v| \leq e + 1$, that is $v = u - w \in S_{n,e+1}$. As ϕ is a homomorphism, $\phi(u - w) = \phi(u) - \phi(w) = g - 0 = g$. Therefore, the restriction of ϕ to $S_{n,e}$ is a surjection, and consequently $|G| < |S_{n,e+1}|$. We proved that G has an optimal embedding in Z^n, and therefore $k = |G|$, $|S_{n,e}| \leq k < |S_{n,e+1}|$ has an optimal embedding in Z^n. ■

Now we state several corollaries of the above statement. Combining Theorem 11 with Theorem 7 we get:

Corollary 12: For each e there are at least $|S_{2,e+1}| - |S_{2,e}|$ non-isomorphic linear $QPL(2, e)$ codes.

Proof: As each $k, |S_{2,e}| \leq k < |S_{2,e+1}|$, has an optimal embedding in Z_2, we can assign to each k a linear $QPL(n, e)$ code the same way as it was done in the proof of Theorem 11. These codes are obviously non-isomorphic as the corresponding packing of Z^2 by $S_{n,e}$ has packing efficiency $\frac{|S_{n,e}|}{k}$. ■

In practical applications we deal with finite perfect Lee codes. It is well known and relatively easy to see that if a linear $PL(n, e)$ code, (a linear $QPL(n, e)$ code), is p-periodic, then these codes induce perfect (quasi-perfect) linear Lee code over the alphabet Z_p^n; these codes are usually denoted as $PL(n, 2)$ codes ($QPL(n, e, p)$ codes). As another corollary of Theorem 11 we get:

Corollary 13: There is a linear $QPL(2, e, k)$ code for each $|S_{2,e}| \leq k < |S_{2,e+1}|$.

Proof: Let k be a number such that $|S_{2,e}| \leq k < |S_{2,e+1}|$. By Theorem 7, k has an optimal embedding in Z^2, and by Theorem 11 there is a linear $QPL(n, e)$ code $\mathcal{L}$. As above, $\mathcal{L}$ is a lattice and $Z^n/\mathcal{L} = G$ is an abelian group of order $|G| = k$. Denote by ϕ the natural homomorphism $\phi : Z^n \to G$. Further, each lattice $\mathcal{L}$ is periodic, and for the smallest period p of $\mathcal{L}$ it is: $p = l.c.m.\{ord(\phi(e_i)), i = 1, .., n\}$, where $ord(g)$ stands for the order of the element g in the group G. It is well known that $ord(g)$ divides the order $|G|$ of the group G. Hence also p divides $|G|$. Finally, if $\mathcal{L}$ is p-periodic, it is also q-periodic for each q divisible by p. Therefore $\mathcal{L}$ is a linear $QPL(n, e)$ code that is $k = |G|$ periodic. This in turn implies there is a linear $QPL(n, e, k)$ code. ■

The above statements show that for $n = 2$ there are relatively many linear $QPL(2, e)$ codes. Unfortunately, by Theorem 9 and Theorem 11 we immediately get:

Corollary 14: For each $n > 2$, there are at most finitely many values of e for which there exists a linear $QPL(n, e)$ code.

Now we concentrate on the case of $n = 3$ that is most likely to be used in a real-life application. It follows from the result of Gravier et al. [10], that there is no $PL(3, e)$ code for $e > 1$, so there is no optimal embedding for $k = |S_{3,e}|$ in Z^3. However, there are values of k having an optimal embedding in Z^3.

Set $K = [1, 21] \cup [27, 50] \cup \{55, 117, 145\} \cup [70, 102] \cup \{117, 145\} \cup [147, 151] \cup [153, 156] \cup [158, 165] \cup [167, 172] \cup [174, 177] \cup \{182, 183, 190, 260, 261, 263, 264, 266, 267, 268, 270, 272, 273, 274, 275, 276, 279, 282, 286, 288, 292, 300, 421, 422, 426, 438, 455\}$.

Theorem 15: If $k \in K$, then k has an optimal embedding in Z^3.

Proof: To prove the statement, by Theorem 4, for each $k \in K$ we need to find an abelian group G of order k and a homomorphism $\phi : Z^3 \to G$ so that the restriction of ϕ to $S_{n,r}$ is an injection and the restriction of ϕ to $S_{n,r+1}$ is a surjection; where r is the number so that $|S_{n,r}| \leq k < |S_{n,r+1}|$. As the group G we used in all cases the cyclic group Z_k. The required homomorphism has been found by a simple computer search. As ϕ is a homomorphism, all values of ϕ are uniquely determined by the values of $\phi(e_i), i = 1, 2, 3$. By inspecting all options for $\phi(e_i), i = 1, 2, 3$ we found a suitable homomorphism for all $k \in K$. For example, for $k = 7$ it suffices to choose $\phi(e_i) = i, i = 1, 2, 3$; for $k = 50$, $\phi(e_1) = 1, \phi(e_2) = 8, \phi(e_3) = 12$; for $k = 263$, $\phi(e_1) = 1, \phi(e_2) = 24, \phi(e_3) = 39$, and for $k = 455$, a suitable choice is $\phi(e_1) = 1, \phi(e_2) = 16, \phi(e_3) = 199$. ■

In terms of linear $QPL(n, e)$ codes we get:

Corollary 16: There is a linear $QPL(3, e)$ code for each $e, 1 \leq e \leq 6$.

Proof: For $n = 3$, it is $|S_{3,e}| = \frac{4}{3}e^3 + 2e^2 + \frac{8}{3}e + 1$. Thus, for $e = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5,\ 6$, and 7, we get that $|S_{3,e}| = 7, 25, 63, 129, 231, 377$, and 575, respectively. A simple check shows that for each $e, 1 \leq e \leq 7$, there is a $k \in K$ with $|S_{3,e}| \leq k < |S_{3,e+1}|$. ■

We strongly believe that:

Conjecture 17: There is an optimal embedding of a number $k > 0$ in Z^3 only for $k \in K$. In particular, there is a linear $QPL(3, e)$ code only for $e \leq 6$.

There are several pieces of evidence supporting the conjecture. We state here only the following theorem providing a (crude) bound on e. The statement of the theorem could be proved with the condition "linear" dropped. However, for the purpose of this paper, we prove only a weaker version of the theorem.

Theorem 18: There is no linear $QPL(3, e)$ code for $e \geq 55$.

Proof: Suppose that $\mathcal{C}$ is a linear $QPL(3, e)$ code. By Theorem 11, there is a $k, |S_{3,e}| \leq k < |S_{3,e+1}|$, so that k has an optimal embedding in Z^3. Using the language of the proof of Theorem 9, this implies that there is a lattice tiling of R^n by a cluster T_k of unit cubes, $L_{3,e} \subseteq T_k \subset L_{n,e+1}$, with its volume $V(T_k) = k$. Also, by proof of Theorem 9, there is no tiling $\mathcal{T}$ of R^n by a cluster of unit cubes T_k with

$$\frac{V(P_{3,e})}{V(T_k)} > \alpha, \tag{2}$$

where α is the packing efficiency of the regular polytope $P_{3,e}$. The packing efficiency $\alpha = \frac{18}{19}$ of the regular octahedron has been determined by Minkowski in [18]. To prove the non-existence of a linear $QPL(n, e)$ code we need to show that (2) is satisfied by all $k, |S_{3,e}| \leq k < |S_{3,e+1}|$. Clearly, it suffices to show that (2) is satisfied by $k = |S_{3,e+1}| - 1$ as $\frac{V(P_{3,e})}{V(T_{k+1})} < \frac{V(P_{3,e})}{V(T_k)}$. Solving (2) for $V(P_{3,e}) = \frac{(2e+1)^3}{3!}$ and $V(T_k) = |S_{3,e+1}| - 1 = \frac{4}{3}(e+1)^3 + 2(e+1)^2 + \frac{8}{3}(e+1)$ we get that there is no linear $QPL(3, e)$ code for $e \geq 55$. ■

V. CONCLUSIONS

The Golomb-Welch conjecture claims that there are no $PL(n, e)$ codes for $n \geq 3$, and $e > 1$. The statement has been proved, see [9], [21], and [25], for many pairs (n, e), where e is a relatively large number. Unfortunately, it seems to us that the methods used cannot be extended to other cases. In [10], [11], and [12] the non-existence of $PL(n, e)$ codes have been showed for all values of e and n small. Neither these methods can be extended to small values of e while n grows. To deal with the problem we have initiated in this paper the study of a new invariant related to group embeddings. We have shown that the existence of a linear $PL(n, e)$ code is equivalent to the existence of an optimal embedding of an abelian group G of order $|S_{n,r}|$ in Z^n. Since the theory of groups is well developed with many deep results we hope that this approach might turn to be useful in proving the Golomb-Welch conjecture. We believe that the most difficult case to prove the non-existence of a $PL(n, e)$ code is that of $e = 2$. For a fixed value of n these are the "threshold" cases, as a $PL(n, 1)$ code exists for all $n \geq 1$. Using methods developed in this paper combined with a computer search we have proved that there is no linear $PL(n, 2)$ code for $7 \leq e \leq 11$.

In some real life problems perfect Lee codes would provide an optimal solution. As it is widely believed that there are no $PL(n, e)$ codes for $n \geq 3$, and $e > 1$, codes that are close to being perfect are sought. For example, quasi-perfect Lee codes have been introduced in [2]. It was shown there that a $QPL(2, e)$ code exists for all $e \geq 1$. In this paper we have proved that the situation is dramatically different for $n \geq 3$. For each n there are only finitely many values of e for which a $QPL(n, e)$ code might exist. We believe that the non-existence of a $QPL(n, e)$ code for fixed n and $e \gg n$ provides supporting evidence that not only $PL(n, e)$ codes do not exist for $n \geq 3, e > 1$, but even there is no good approximation of these codes. We express this belief as a conjecture in terms of group embeddings.

Conjecture 19: For each $\delta > 0$ there are $n, k = |S_{n,e}|$ so that $\pi(n, k) > f(n, k) + \delta$.

That is, the difference between the embedding number $\pi(n,k)$ and the theoretical minimum $f(n,k)$ of the embedding number grows without bounds.

On the other hand, we believe that there are $QPL(n,e)$ codes for arbitrarily large e.

Conjecture 20: For each $e \geq 1$ there is n_e so that a $QPL(n_e,e)$ code exists for all $n > n_e$.

A weaker form of the conjecture claims:

Conjecture 21: There is a $QPL(n,2)$ code for all $n \geq 2$.

At the very end we state another conjecture related to group embeddings. If true, then proofs of the non-existence of various types of Lee codes would be much simpler, and we would be able to get much stronger results.

Conjecture 22: For each $n \geq 2$, and $k > 0$, $\pi(n,Z_k) \leq \pi(n,G)$ for every abelian group of order k.

Thus the conjecture says that to show that a number k does not have an optimal embedding in Z^n it is sufficient to restrict the proof to the cyclic group Z_k.

As mentioned above, Etzion [8] has extended the Golomb-Welch conjecture to diameter perfect Lee codes. A further extension of the Golomb-Welch conjecture, that includes the conjecture of Etzion, is provided in [3], where the notion of a perfect code is generalized to the notion of a perfect distance dominating set. A tiling constructed by Minkowski [19] provides an exception to both extensions of the G-W conjecture. This leads us to believe that there might be a few exceptions to the Golomb-Welch conjecture; if any, then we feel there would exist a $PL(n,2)$ code for some values of n.

REFERENCES

[1] R. Ahlswede , H.K. Audinian, and L.H. Khachatrian, *On perfect codes and related concepts*, Designs, Codes and Cryptography 22(2001), 221-237.

[2] B. F. AlBdaiwi, and B. Bose, *Quasi-perfect Lee distance codes*, IEEE Transaction on Information Th. 49 (2003), 1535–1539.

[3] C. Araujo, I. Dejter, and P. Horak, *A generalization of Lee codes*, to appear in Designs, Codes, and Cryptography.

[4] E. R. Berlekamp. Algebraic Coding Theory, revised edition. LagunaHills, CA Aegean Park Press, 1984.

[5] J. C.-Y. Chiang, and J. K. Wolf, *On channels and codes for theLee metric*, Inf. Control, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 159-173, Sept. 1971.

[6] S. I. Costa, M. Muniz, E. Agustini, and R. Palazzo, *Graphs, tessellations, and perfect codes on flat tori*, IEEE Transactions on Information Th. 50(2004), 2363–2377.

[7] H. S. M. Coxeter, Regular Polytopes, Macmillan, New York, 1963.

[8] T. Etzion, *Product constructions for perfect Lee Codes*, to appear in IEEE Transaction on Information Th.

[9] S. W. Golomb, and L. R. Welsh, *Perfect codes in the Lee metric and the packing of polyominos*, SIAM J. Applied Math. 18(1970), 302-317.

[10] S. Gravier, M. Mollard, and Ch. Payan, *On the existence of three-dimensional tiling in the Lee metric*, European J. Combinatorics 19 (1998), 567-572.

[11] P. Horak, *On Perfect Lee Codes*, Discrete Mathematics 309 (2009), 5551-5561.

[12] P. Horak, *Tilings in Lee Metric*, European J. Combinatorics 30(2009), 480–489.

[13] P. Horak, and B. F. AlBdaiwi, *Fast decoding quasi-perfect Lee distance codes*, Designs, Codes, and Cryptography 40 (2006), 357 - 367.

[14] P. Horak, and B. F. AlBdaiwi, *Non-periodeic tilings of R^n by crosses*, Discrete & Computational Geometry 47 (2012), 1-16.

[15] P. Horak, and B. F. AlBdaiwi, *Diameter perfect Lee codes*, to appear in IEEE Transactions on Information Th.

[16] K. Keating, and A. Vince, *Isohedral Polyomino Tiling of the Plane*, Discrete &Computational Geometry 21(1999), 615-630.

[17] C. Y. Lee, *Some properties of nonbinary error-correcting code right*, IRETrans. on Inform. Theory, vol. 4, pp. 72–82, 1958.

[18] H. Minkowski, *Quelques nouveaux theorems sur l'approximation des quantites a l'aide de nombres rationnel*s, Bulletin des sciences mathematique (2), vol. 25, pp. 72-76.

[19] H. Minkowski, *Dichteste gitterformige Lagerung kongruenter Korper*, Nachrichten Ges. Wiss. Gottingen, pp. 311–355, 1904.

[20] J. Milnor, *Hilbert's problem 18: on crystallographic groups, fundamental domains, and on sphere packing*. In: Mathematical developments arising from Hilbert problems.(edited by Felix E. Browder) Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics,Vol. XXVIII. American Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1976, pp. 491-506.

[21] K. A. Post, *Nonexistence Theorem on Perfect Lee Codes over Large Alphabets*, Information and Control 29(1975), 369-380.

[22] R. M. Roth, and P. H. Siegel, *Lee-metric BCH codes and their application to constrained and partial-response channels*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, 40 (1994), no.4, 1083-1096.

[23] C. Satyanarayana, *Lee metric codes over integer residue rings*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, 25 (1979), no. 2, 250-254.

[24] S. Špacapan, *Non-existence of face-to-face four dimensional tiling in the Lee metric*, European J. Combinatorics 28 (2007), 127-133.

[25] S. Špacapan, *Optimal Lee-type local structures in Cartesian products of cycles and paths*. SIAM J. DiscreteMath. 21 (2007), 750–762.

[26] S. K. Stein and S. Szab´o, Algebra and Tiling : Homomorphisms in the Service of Geometry, Carus Mathematical Monographs, #25, MAA, 1994, 209 pp.

[27] S. Szabo, and A. Sands, *Factoring Groups into Subsets*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 257, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2009, 269 pp.

[28] W. Ulrich, *Non-binary error-correcting codes*, The Bell System Technical Journal 36 (1957), 1341-1387.